FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

745 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10151 Telephone: (212) 588-0800 Facsimile: (212) 588-0500 CENTRAL FAX CENTE

FACSIMILE COVER LETTER

To:

Examiner, David Nhu

Firm:

USPTO

Fax No.

571 273-8300

From:

William S. Frommer

Date:

March 11, 2008

Re:

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/540,720

Our Ref.: 450101-04870.1

No. of Pages:

3

(including cover page)

If you do not receive all pages or are unable to read the transmission, please call and ask for Fontella McKenzie @ Ext. 2153

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The documents accompanying this transmission contain confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose. The information is private, and is legally protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile is strictly prohibited.

00529639

PATENT 450101-04870.1

N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAK 1 2 2008

Applicants

Koichiro KISHIMA et al.

Notice of Allowance

Dated: 01/04/2008

Serial No.

10/540,720

For

METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING SEMICONDUCTOR

SUBSTRATE AND SEMICONDUCTOR SUBSTRATE

Filed

January 13, 2006

Examiner

David Nhu

Art Unit

2818

Confirmation No.

2419

745 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10151

FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office Central Fax Center No. (571) 273-8300 on the date shown below.

person signing certification

Type or print name of

Signature

March / 2 2008

Date of Signature

RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, which accompanied the Notice of Allowance mailed January 4, 2008. To the extent the Examiner's

00516880

PATENT 450101-04870.1

Statement of Reasons for Allowance states, implies or is construed to mean that the claims are allowable over the prior art of record because the Examiner believes the claims should be interpreted to include one or more features or limitations not recited therein, Applicants' attorney disagrees with such an interpretation. Moreover, it is Applicants' contention that there is no particular limitation in the allowed claims that is more critical than any other. The issuance of the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not be construed as a surrender by Applicants of any subject matter. It is the intent of Applicants, by their attorney, to construe the allowed claims so as to cover the invention disclosed in the instant application and all equivalents to which the claimed invention is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP Attorneys for Applicants

William S. Frommer

Reg. No. 25,506 (212) 588-0800